



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/543,235	04/05/2000	John C. Krumm	MCS-008-00	6912
27662 7	590 11/04/2003		EXAM	INER
LYON & HARR, LLP			LAU, TUNG S	
300 ESPLANADE DRIVE, SUITE 800 OXNARD, CA 93036			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
OXIVIRD, C			2863	

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		N			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
. Office Action Summan	09/543,235	KRUMM, JOHN C.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAU INC DATE of this communication and	Tung S Lau	2863			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apprend for Reply	ears on the cover she i with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 C	<u> </u>				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>b</i> Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,12-17,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-11 and 18</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
,	<u></u>	aminer			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 12, 19, 13, 14, 15, 16, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Day et al. (U.S. Patent 4,639,878).

#### Regarding claim 1:

Day discloses a method of determining a relative position and orientation between a base camera and a non-base camera, including measuring a path of an object with the base camera in a base coordinate frame (Col. 3-4, Lines 55-60); measuring the object path with the non base camera in a non-base coordinate frame (Col. 3-4, Lines 55-60); calculating transformation based on the object path; applying the transformation parameter to the object path measured by the non-base camera such that the object path measured by the non-based camera is expressed in the base coordinate frame (Col. 4-5, Lines 61-22).

### Regarding claim 12:

Day discloses a method of measuring a relative pose between two camera comprising selecting a time offset value corresponding to a time shift between the two cameras and calculating a transformation parameter using the time offset value (Col. 3-4, Lines 55-58), the transformation parameter capable of

Application/Control Number: 09/543,235 Page 3

Art Unit: 2863

transforming data in a coordinate frame of one of the two cameras into a coordinate frame of the other of the two cameras so as to obtain the relative pose (Col. 4-5, Lines 43-22).

#### Regarding claim 19:

Day discloses a method of calibrating a first and second range camera comprising measuring a path of an object with the first range camera to generate a first observed object path (Col. 3-4, Lines 54-43); measuring the object path with the second range camera to generate a second observed object path (Col. 3-4, Lines 54-58), and calculating a transformation parameter that causes the first observed object path to approximately overlap with the second observed object path so as to determine a relative pose between the first and second range cameras (Col. 4-5, Lines 60-32).

Regarding claims 13, 14, 15, 16, 20:

Day discloses the time offset value data (Col. 10, Lines 52-64), the measurements by the two camera of a path of an object (fig.3-5), the transformation parameter is calculated of each the time offset and error function (Col. 10, Lines 52-64, Col. 12, Lines 6-24).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill

in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

a. Claim 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Day et al. (U.S. Patent 4,639,878) in view of Huang (U.S. Patent 4,945,493).

Day discloses a method including the subject matter discussed above except the use of least squares solution; Huang discloses the use of least squares solution in order to solve simultaneous equations to have a result quickly (Col. 5, Lines 32-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Day to have the use of least squares solution taught by Huang in order in order to solve simultaneous equations to have a result quickly (Col. 5, Lines 32-45).

#### Claim Objections

3. Claims 2-11 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitation of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: prior art fail to teach the moving is a person, matching data measured between the cameras, least median of square technique.

Art Unit: 2863

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Page 5

### Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 10-10-2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
  - **A.** Applicant argues that the prior art does not show the 'measuring a path of an object with a base camera', Day shows the 'measuring a path of an object with a base camera' in (Col. 3-4, Lines 55-58).
  - **B**. Applicant argues that the prior art does not show the 'measuring a path of an object with a non-base camera', Day shows the 'measuring a path of an object with a non-base camera' in (Col. 3-4, Lines 55-58).
  - C. Applicant argues that the prior art does not show the 'calculating transformation parameters based on the object path', Day shows the 'calculating transformation parameters based on the object path' in (Col. 4-5, Lines 61-22).

Art Unit: 2863

- **C**. Applicant continues to argue that the prior art does not show the 'measuring a path of an object with the first/second range camera', Day shows the 'measuring a path of an object with the first/second range camera' in (Col. 3-5, Lines 55-22).
- **D.** Applicant continues to argue that the prior art does not show the 'calculating a transformation parameter that causes the first observed object path to approximately overlap with the second observed object path ', Day shows the 'calculating a transformation parameter that causes the first observed object path to approximately overlap with the second observed object path' in (Col. 3-5, Lines 55-22, fig. 10a-k).
- **E.** Applicant continues to argue that the prior art does not show the 'selecting a time offset', Day shows the 'selecting a time offset' in (Col. 10, Lines 52-64).
- **F.** Applicant continues to argue that the prior art does not show the 'calculating a transformation parameter using the time offset', Day shows the 'calculating a transformation parameter using the time offset' in (Col. 4-5, Lines 61-22, Col. 10, Lines 52-64).
- **G**. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed

Art Unit: 2863

invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, both Day and Huang deal with the area of automatic correcting a position and path of an object.

Reminds to the applicants that during patent examination, the pending claims must be "given the broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification." Applicant always has the opportunity to amend the claims during prosecution, and broad interpretation by the examiner reduces the possibility that the claim, once issued, will be interpreted more broadly than is justified. In re Prater, 415 F.2d 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ 541, 550-51 (CCPA 1969).

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory

Art Unit: 2863

Page 8

action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

**5**. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung S Lau whose telephone number is 703-305-3309.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on 703-308-3126. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-5841 for regular communications and 703-308-5841 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TC2800 RightFAX Telephone Numbers: TC2800 Official Before-Final RightFAX - (703) 872-9318, TC2800 Official After-Final RightFAX - (703) 872-9319
TC2800 Customer Service RightFAX - (703) 872-9317

TL

Art Unit: 2863

action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 8

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung S Lau whose telephone number is 703-305-3309.
The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on 703-308-3126. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-5841 for regular communications and 703-308-5841 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TC2800 RightFAX Telephone Numbers: TC2800 Official Before-Final RightFAX - (703) 872-9318, TC2800 Official After-Final RightFAX - (703) 872-9319

TC2800 Customer Service RightFAX - (703) 872-9317

TL

MICHAEL NGHIEM
PRIMARY EXAMINER